## Court Lighting

## Consultation Document

March 2021

## Purpose

The purpose of this document is to share plans for the tennis club with local stakeholders before selecting a preferred lighting option and submitting a planning application.

The document aims to outline plans for lighting the tennis courts to provide a year round facility for members of the club.

The committee is seeking to provide a cost effective solution that provides suitable lighting whilst minimising the impact on local residents.

We really appreciate your feedback, we have set up a survey link via our website

Alternatively you can also email with feedback at this address:
baxterparkandbrooksidetennis@gmail.com
We'll be collating all feedback on the $9^{\text {th }}$ April

## Why are we engaging with you now?

As a neighbourhood club set in the heart of the Baxter Park Estate we recognise how important it is to ensure that we have open lines of communication with you about any changes which may affect you.

We are engaging with you before we submit a formal planning application in order to assist us in minimising the impact on neighbours and to find the best solution and approach in lighting our courts.

## Why do we need lighting?

- We love playing tennis and currently we can't do this all year round
- As the committee of the Tennis club we are always looking for ways to protect the long term future of our club.
- Until 2020 we had a strong core of regular members and money in the bank for future court refurbishment (likely 5-7 yrs time) but as we were making minimal profit each year we need to attract more members and find more ways to generate income to secure our future
- In 2020 we were thrilled to double our membership so there is more demand to play tennis
- As a community club we look to provide a place for people to improve their physical and mental wellbeing


## What are the Court Lighting Options?

## 1. Philips Clear LED court lighting (6m pillars)

## 2. Tweener LED fence lighting

Please note the committee has investigated the possibility of using the portable court lighting inherited from another club, but this option has been discounted due to several reasons.

- The cost of repair,
- ongoing maintenance,
- replacement part availability,
- lack of knowledge on current lighting performance (making it harder to submit for planning)
- they would only illuminate 1 court.


## Pros and Cons Summary

| Option | Pros | Cons |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Philips Clear LED court lighting | - Good quality lighting <br> - Warranty <br> - Known lighting for planning <br> - Widely used and tested across tennis community | - Expensive <br> - $8 \mathrm{~m}-10 \mathrm{~m}$ columns unattractive <br> - Visible during both during the day and when lit at night <br> - Ongoing maintenance costs |
| Tweener LED Lighting (Single and Double solution) | - Lower Up Front Costs <br> - Energy efficient <br> - Cheapest running costs <br> - 5 year guarantee <br> - No maintenance costs <br> - Suitable for residential area <br> - Most likely to be approved <br> - Ease of fitting | - Lower LUX* than some options (suitable for recreational / club tennis) |

## Lighting Requirements for Tennis
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| sports field standard |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Class I | Top-level national and international competitions (nontelevision broadcast) | big-sized numbers of spectators. |
|  |  | require long viewing distances for spectators |
| Class II | Mid-level competition,region al or local club competitions | medium-sized numbers of spectators. |
|  |  | sports field is smaller than the Class I field |
|  |  | viewing distances is shorter than Class stadium, medium distance |
|  |  | High-level training may also be included in this class |
| ClassIII | Low-level competition, local or small club competitions | meet the private recreation demand |
|  |  | few spectators |
|  |  | General training, school sports and recreational activities |


| Lighting parameters for outdoor courts |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Horizontal <br> illuminance | Uniformity of <br> illuminance | Glare | Light colour <br> temperature | Light colour <br> rendering |
|  | Eh average <br> (lux) | Emin/Eh ave | GR | (K) | Ra |
| Class I | $>500^{*}$ | $>0.7$ | $<50$ | $>4000$ | $>80$ |
| Class II | $>300^{*}$ | $>0.7$ | $<50$ | $>4000$ | $>65$ |
| Class III | $>200^{*}$ | $>0.6$ | $<55$ | $>2000$ | $>20$ |

We believe Baxter Park \& Brookside Tennis Club is a class 3 venue and therefore the lowest level of lighting is required compared to some tennis venues

## Option 1 - Philips Clear Flood LED

## Court lighting Options - 12 pillars 6m
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## Philips Clear Flood LED Court lighting



ClearFlood
(40)

ClearFlood - LED solution for Sports and Area lighting

View all 6 products

PHILIPS

More downloads


## Example of 6m Court Lighting



## Philips Clear LED Lighting Light spillage (LUX)




## How will we minimise the impact on our neighbours?

| Issue | Impact | Mitigation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Light Spillage | Impact of lighting on neighbours | 12 low level LED's focused inwards on court. <br> Class 3 lighting levels |
| Noise | Additional noise from increased use | Curfew at 10pm. Club is already open during <br> summer months to similar time |
| Traffic | Limited, many members walk | Encourage walking to club and use of car park |
| Visual | Impact of lighting columns | Lowest level solution of $12 \times 6 \mathrm{~m}$, rather than 8 <br> or 10m options |
| Disruption whilst <br> works carried out | Potentially 1-2 weeks, may require drilling | We'll ensure works are in daylight hours |

## Tweener by NLX

LED lighting - located on existing fence at 2.75 m high

## Tweener



## 2 solutions



TWEENER ${ }^{\oplus}$ SIMPLE: for lighting 1 tennis court. Compliant with tennis lighting standards.

- Average illuminance level $\geq \mathbf{3 0 0}$ lux
- Illumination uniformity factor $\mathbf{0 . 7}$
- Color Rendering Index>70
- Gare rate < $\mathbf{5 0}$


TWEENER ${ }^{\oplus}$ DOUBLE: for lighting 2 tennis courts simultaneously. These 2 courts must be within the same fence.

- Illumination uniformity factor $\geq \mathbf{2 5 0}$ lux
- Color Rendering Index > $\mathbf{7 0}$
- Glare rate < 50
- Power 3500 W

We are considering the Double Tweener to illuminate 3 courts

Tweener Option - Courts 1-4
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## 4 court Option from Tweener Review of Lighting

| Court | Lighting | LUX | Glare | Watts | Uniformity | Shadows | Cost / Hour | Guarantee |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Tweener Single | >300 | <50 | 1800 | > $70 \%$ | No | low | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \text { years } \\ & 50,000 \text { hours* } \end{aligned}$ |
| 1 | Tweener Double | >200 |  | 3500 | TBC |  | low |  |
| 2 |  | >160 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  | >200 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Notes:

- LUX - Whilst LTA recommend 400, it is widely recognised 200 is ok for Class 3 tennis. Court 4 would offer high quality lighting for more competitive matches
- Glare - Should be less than 50, or less than 55 for Class 3 tennis
- Uniformity - Consistency of lighting across courts
* No returns from 200 projects


## Double Tweener on 3 Courts and single on 1 court

## Court 2 Lux - <br> This court will be c10-20\% below the recommended 200 LUX

| 170 | 175 | 173 | 180 | 175 | 181 | $170$ | 178 | 181 | 179 | 176 | 175 | 172 | 5.48 m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 155 | 162 | 164 | 168 | 164 | 169 | 166 | 168 | 169 | 167 | 166 | 163 | 159 |  |
| $\underline{153}$ | 158 | 161 | -162 | -162 | -163 | -161 | -165 | -165 | -164- | 162 | 160 | 155 |  |
| 156 | 162 | 166 | 167 | 168 | 167 | 166 | 169 | 168 | 168 | 166 | 163 | 158 |  |
| ${ }^{-167}$ | 175 |  | 178 | 180 | 181 | 17 | 182 | 180 | 180 | 175 |  | 173 |  |

## Tweener Case Study - Our Lady of Muswell Tennis club



Fitted to fence at approx 2.5 3m high

Netting behind lights to limit light spillage

Great feedback from members who now use this court as preferred choice
https://www.tweener.fr/en/out door-tennis-lighting/
https://www.youtube.com/wat ch?v=enUzCeOvNqA

## Additional photos of Tweener
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## How will we minimise the impact on our neighbours?

| Issue | Impact | Mitigation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Light Spillage | Impact of lighting on neighbours | Minimal due to LED solution and <br> location on fence at 2.75m. Netting can <br> further reduce spillage |
| Noise | Additional noise from increased use | Light curfew at 10pm. Club is already <br> open during summer months to similar <br> time |
| Traffic | Limited, many members walk | Encourage walking to club and use of <br> car park |
| Visual | Impact of lighting columns | Fence solution would make Tweener <br> system virtually invisible during day |
| Disruption whilst | Minimal, can be installed relatively <br> works carried out <br> easily within 2 days with few <br> workmen needed | No heavy works, drilling or otherwise <br> required for this solution. |

## Summary

- Both options would provide sufficient lighting for Class 3 level tennis. By using a lower LUX level, this reduces light spillage and impact on neighbours
- Option 1- Philips lighting would require $12 \times 6 \mathrm{~m}$ columns, the minimum height for tennis using this type of solution.
- Option 2- Tweener solution would provide a lower level of illumination on court 2 (centre of 3 courts) but there would be no columns required as located on existing fences at 2.75 m high
- For Tweener solution a windbreak net can be fitted behind on fence to further restrict light spillage
- 10pm curfew would be in place with a timer connected to the electrics to make it impossible to light after this time


## Appendices

Club Information

Traditional Court Lighting Options - \#1 4 pillars 10-12m


## Court lighting Options - \#2 8 pillars 8m
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## Fencing - existing fencing is ideal for

 Tweener solution

- Fence height 2.75 m around entire perimeter
- Gap between fences 2.80 m to 3.20 m
- L Shaped Design
- Fully surrounded
- 6 years old and in good condition


## Court 4 Photos
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## Court 1-3 Photos



- Bowling green on one side of court
- 12 posts on each side including end posts
- 2.8 m to 3.2 m apart
- 2.75 m high

